## Case 4::11-cv-0465/75-DMR D0ccumeent 15 FFFeect 10/076/11 Page 1 of 2

1	COOLEY LLP		
2	THOMAS J. FRIEL, JR. (80065) (tfriel@cooley.com) 101 California Street, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor		
3	San Francisco, CA 94111-5800 Telephone: (415) 693-2000		
4	Facsimile: (415) 693-2222		
5	WAYNE O. STACY (pro hac vice pending) (stacywo@cooley.com)		
6	ORION ARMON (pro hac vice pending) (oarmon@cooley.com)		
7	COOLEY LLP 380 Interlocken Crescent		
8	Suite 900 Broomfield, CO 80021-8023		
9	Telephone: (720) 566-4000 Facsimile: (720) 566-4099		
10	Attorneys for Defendant		
11	Quest Software, Inc.		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	OAKLAND DIVISION		
15	CENTRIFY CORPORATION,	Case No. 4:11-cv-4675-DMR	
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
17	V.	ORDER TO EXTEND ANSWER DEADLINE FOR QUEST	
18	QUEST SOFTWARE, INC.,	SOFTWARE, INC.	
19	Defendant.		
20			
21	Plaintiff Centrify Corp. and Defendant Quest Software, Inc., by and through their		
22	respective counsel, hereby stipulate to a thirty-day extension of time for Quest Software to move,		
23	answer, or otherwise respond to Centrify's Complaint, up to and including November 17, 2011.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 P			
LAW CO	Case No. 4:11-cv-04675-DMR	STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND ANSWER DEADLINE	

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

## Case 4::11-cv-046775-DMR Documeent 15 FFI elect 10/076/111 Page 2 of 2

1	Dated: October 6, 2011	COOLEY LLP	
2			
3		/s/ Thomas J. Friel, Jr. Thomas J. Friel, Jr. (State Bar No. 80065)	
5		ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT QUEST SOFTWARE, INC.	
6		~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7	Dated: October 6, 2011	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
8		/s/ Edward V. Anderson	
9		Edward V. Anderson (State Bar No. 83148)	
10		ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF CENTRIFY CORP.	
11		OISTO.	
12	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS SO	ORDERED:	
13		S APPRO	
14	Dated: October, 2011	Judge Donna M. Ryu	
15		DISTRICTOR	
16		The Honorable Donna M. Ryu	
17		United States Magistrate Judge	
18	<u>ATTESTATION CLAUSE</u>		
19	I, Thomas J. Friel, Jr., hereby attest in accordance with General Order No. 45.X(B) that		
20	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
21	Edward V. Anderson, counsel for Plaintiff Centrify Corp., has provided his concurrence with the		
22	electronic filing of the foregoing document entitled STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
23	ORDER TO EXTEND ANSWER DEADLIN	NE.	
24	Dated: October 6, 2011	By: /s/ Thomas J. Friel, Jr.	
25		By: <u>/s/ Thomas J. Friel, Jr.</u> Thomas J. Friel, Jr.	
26			
27	363696 v1/CO		
28	303070 11/00		

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

Case No. 4:11-cv-04675-DMR

STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND ANSWER DEADLINE